For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	TOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	EDGAR W. TUTTLE, ERIC BRAUN, THE No. C 10-03588 WHA BRAUN FAMILY TRUST, and WENDY	
11	MEG SIEGEL, on behalf of themselves	
12	and all others similarly situated, NOTICE	
13	Plaintiffs,	
14	V.	
15	SKY BELL ASSET MANAGEMENT, LLC, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Be prepared to answer these questions based on the existing record. Do <i>not</i> try to	
19	supplement:	
20	Was Eric Braun a qualified investor?	
21	• If not, did he ever represent otherwise?	
22	What does the caselaw hold as to whether it even matters?	
23	Many people hold investments in an IRA account. What difference should it make	
24	that Mr. Braun held this investment via an IRA account?	
25		
26		
27		
28		

Case3:10-cv-03588-WHA Document253 Filed10/17/11 Page2 of 2

•	Claims 3–5 are not asserted against Rothstein Kass by the Night Watch "class."
	How can these claims now be included as class claims against Rothstein Kass
IT IS	S SO ORDERED.
Dated: Octo	ober 17, 2011.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE